IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

HISHAM HAMED, individually, and derivatively, on behalf of SIXTEEN PLUS CORPORATION,

Plaintiff.

٧.

FATHI YUSUF, ISAM YOUSUF and JAMIL YOUSEF

Defendants,

and

SIXTEEN PLUS CORPORATION,

a nominal Defendant.

Case No.: 2016-SX-CV-650

DERIVATIVE SHAREHOLDER SUIT, ACTION FOR DAMAGES AND CICO RELIEF

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF HISHAM HAMED'S THIRD REQUEST FOR INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT FATHI YUSUF

Plaintiff Hisham Hamed, by counsel, propounds the following third request for interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33 of the *Virgin Islands Rules of Civil Procedure* (V.I. R. CIV. P.) on Defendant Fathi Yusuf.

INSTRUCTIONS

If any of the following Interrogatories cannot be answered in full, please answer to the extent possible, specify the reason for your inability to answer the remainder and state whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the unanswered portion. Where your investigation is incomplete, give all information known as of the date of signing your answer. Where exact data is unavailable, supply estimated data, indicate that you have done so, and explain the basis on which the estimate was made.

If you decline to answer any interrogatory, or portion of any interrogatory, on a claim of privilege or other basis for withholding an answer, such as the work product doctrine, state each privilege or other basis for withholding claimed and describe in detail all foundational facts upon which you base such claim of privilege or basis for withholding.

It is requested that all copies of all documents identified in response be attached to the answers to the responses to these Interrogatories as an exhibit. Please take notice that these Interrogatories are deemed to be continuing up to and including the first day of trial of this action. If at any time, you or any person acting on your behalf obtains additional information called for by these Interrogatories between the time of your response and the time set for trial, please serve supplemental sworn answers setting forth such information.

The words "and," as well as "or," shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the specifications all responses which might otherwise be construed to be outside its scope.

Terms in the plural include the singular and terms in the singular include the plural; the use of one gender shall include all others as appropriate in the context.

These interrogatories are continuing in nature so as to require **you**, **the Defendant**, to file supplemental answers if any additional or different information responsive to these interrogatories is discovered or obtained subsequent to the filing of answers to these interrogatories.

TERMS AND MEANINGS

The terms used in this Discovery have the following meaning:

As used herein, the term "document(s)" is used in its broadest sense to include, by way of illustration only and not by way of limitation, all originals and non-identical

copies of any writing or any other tangible thing or data compilation in the custody, possession or control of the Defendant - whether printed, typed, reproduced by any process, written or produced by hand, including any graphic matter however produced or reproduced, or produced by any other mechanical means and all data, either electronic, magnetic, chemical, mechanical, or other form of data storage capable of being transformed into written or oral matter, including, but not limited to, CD-ROMs, DVDs, computer disks, Hard-drive computer storage mediums - including e-mails, letters, affidavits, filings, engineering studies and for tests, reports, agreements, communications, correspondence, permits, accounting records, business records, contracts, letters of agreements, telegrams, mailgrams, memoranda, summaries and/or records of personnel or telephone conversations, diaries, calendars, forecasts, photographs, tape recordings, facsimiles, models, statistical statements, graphs, charts, plans, drawings, service and/or pump data, logs, minutes or records of meetings, minutes or records of conferences, reports and /or summaries of interviews, reports, conversations, summaries of investigations, opinions or reports of consultants, topographical or geological maps or surveys, appraisals, records, reports or summaries of negotiations, drafts of any document, revisions of drafts of any document, purchase orders, invoices, receipts, original or preliminary notes, financial statements, accounting work papers, promissory notes, film, microfilm, microfiche, punch cards, slides, pictures, videotapes, moving pictures, computer programs, laboratory results, magnetic tapes or any other matter which is capable of being read, heard or seen with or without mechanical or electronic assistance.

"Communication" means any correspondence, contact, discussion, exchange, contract, or agreement between any two or more persons. Without limiting the foregoing, "communication" includes all documents, as defined above, telephone conversations, internet communications, e-mail, facsimile transmissions, voice mail, face-to-face conversations, meetings, and conferences.

DEFINITIONS

"Civil No. 65" refers to the Sixteen Plus Corp. v Manal Yousef, SX-15-CV-65 case before the Superior Court of the U.S. Virgin Islands.

The term "Family" (as in "Fathi Yusuf's Family") shall mean immediate family members (grandparents, spouses, parents and children and their spouses) and any known, aunts, uncles, cousins, nieces and nephews regardless on the number of times removed.

"Note" and/or "Mortgage" refers to the note and mortgage between Manal Yousef and Sixteen plus as to the property known as Diamond Keturah on St. Croix, USVI.

INTERROGATORIES

Interrogatory 23:

In the amended complaint herein, it is alleged at paragraphs 37-42 that:

- 37. While the criminal case continued over the next years, various third parties attempted to buy the Land from Sixteen Plus at substantially higher prices than was paid for the property, with the highest offer exceeding \$22 million.
- Recognizing this substantial increase of 500% in value in less than 10 years, Fathi Yusuf began to try to figure out how to pocket these funds for himself.
- 39. In this regard, the Federal Government agreed that it would remove its lien and the Land could be sold but only if the proceeds of any such sale were escrowed pending the outcome of the criminal case and not paid to Manal Yousef.
- 40. Contrary to the best interests of Sixteen Plus and its shareholders, Fathi Yusuf began to formulate a plan to embezzle from and defraud Sixteen Plus of the value of the Land, and thus rejected offers for the Land unless the sham Manal Yousef note and mortgage were paid -- so he could then get sole control of these funds.
- 41. The Federal Government refused to agree to the request that the Manal Yousef mortgage be paid first, asserting its own doubts about the validity of the sham mortgage.
- 42. Fathi Yusuf could also have had Manal Yousef agree to an escrow of the sales proceeds while preserving her alleged mortgage rights. . . .
 - A. You were asked to describe any inquiries, offers or communications with third parties about the subject property in the First Interrogatories of the companion consolidated cases 65/342). If there is and further information that you sis not include there, please describe in detail here--including a description of any documents related thereto.
 - B. Detail all communications and correspondence with the US government or the Vi government, including but not limited to the FBI, US Attorney General, the VI attorney General, federal prosecutors, and VI prosecutors, regarding such offers.
 - C. Detail all communications and correspondence with the US government or the Vi government, including but not limited to the FBI, US Attorney General, the VI attorney General, federal prosecutors, and VI prosecutors, regarding the lifting of the lien on the subject property.
 - D. Detail all communications and correspondence with the US government or the Vi government, including but not limited to the FBI, US Attorney General, the VI attorney General, federal prosecutors, and VI prosecutors, regarding alternative means for lifting the lien
 - E. With regard to that lien, describe when, how and under what circumstances that lien was eventually lifted.

VERIFICATION

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the facts contained in each of the foregoing responses to interrogatories are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
Dated: Fathi Yusuf
TERRITORY OF THE UNITED STATES VIRGIN ISLANDS)) ss. DISTRICT OF
On this, the day of, 2017, before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Fathi Yusuf, known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within document and acknowledged that he/she executed the same for the purpose therein contained.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.
Notary Public

Plaintiff's Third Request for ROGs to Defendant Fathi Yusuf Page 7

Dated: August 23, 2022

Carl J. Hartmann III, Esq. Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs 5000 Estate Coakley Bay, L-6 Christiansted, VI 00820

Email: carl@carlhartmann.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document complies with the page or word limitation set forth in Rule 6-1(e) and that on 8/23/2022, I served a copy of the foregoing by hand delivery, mail and email, as agreed by the parties, on:

EMAIL

Stefan Herpel, Esq.
Charlotte Perrell, Esq.
Law House, 10000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St. Thomas, VI 00804-0756
Tel: (340) 774-4422
ghodges@dtflaw.com
sherpel@dtflaw.com
lkomives@dtflaw.com

<u>EMAIL</u>

Kevin A. Rames, Esq. 2111 Company Street, Suite 3 Christiansted, VI 00820 Tel: (340) 773-7284 Fax (340) 773-7282 kevin.rames@rameslaw.com

EMAIL AND HAND DELIVER

James L. Hymes, III, Esq. V.I. Bar No. 264
1131 King Street, Suite 309
St. Croix, VI 00820
Tel: (340) 776-3470
Fax: (340) 775-3300
jim@hymeslawvi.com